

Stephanie Kost

From: Mickey Malone [mmalone7@cox.net]
Sent: Monday, December 29, 2003 10 54 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

02-230
RECEIVED

December 29, 2003

JAN - 6 2004

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

[Handwritten signature]

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mickey Malone
912 NE 9th
Moore, OK 73160
USA

[Handwritten signature]

Stephanie Kost

FY PARTE OR LATE FILED 02 230

From: Chandler Morgan [Reknall@ev1.net]
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2003 3:09 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

December 23, 2003

JAN - 6 2004

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chandler Morgan
1325 Jersey Ave S apt. 201
Saint Louis Park, MN 55426
USA

Stephanie Kost

EX PARTE OR DATE FILED

From: scyjackor@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2003 12:35 AM
To: fcc@prd7.wynn.com
Subject: FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<PROCEEDING> 02-230
<DATE> 12/26/03
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO
<NAME> john wright
<CONTACT-EMAIL> scyjackor@aol.com
<ADDRESS1> 324 harmon court
<CITY> georgetown
<STATE> ky
<ZIP> 40324
<PHONE>
<DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment*
<TEXT> placing broadcast flags in television programming to stifle fair use and would be bad for consumers and i dont think we should do it
thanks

Stephanie Kost

From: scyjackor@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2003 12:37 AM
To: fcc@prd7.wynn.com
Subject: FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**

<PROCEEDING> 02-230

<DATE> 12/26/03

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO

<NAME> John Wright

<CONTACT-EMAIL> scyjackor@aol.com

<ADDRESS1> 324 Harmon Court

<CITY> Georgetown

<STATE> KY

<ZIP> 40324

<PHONE>

<DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment*

<TEXT> placing broadcast flags in television programming to stifle fair use and would be bad for the public and I don't think we should do it
thanks

Stephanie Kost

From: Chandler Morgan [Reknall@ev1 net]
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2003 3 09 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

02-230

RECEIVED

December 23, 2003

JAN - 6 2004

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D C. 20554

RECEIVED

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chandler Morgan
1325 Jersey Ave. S. apt. 201
Saint Louis Park, MN 55426
USA

RECEIVED

Stephanie Kost

02-236

From Chandler Morgan [Reknall@ev1 net]
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2003 3 09 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

December 23, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chandler Morgan
1325 Jersey Ave S. apt. 201
Saint Louis Park, MN 55426
USA

Handwritten signature and initials.

Stephanie Kost

From: David Gunnells [phish@marko net]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 1:49 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

02-236

RECEIVED

December 16, 2003

JAN - 6 2004

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D C 20554

ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of 'broadcast flag' technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Gunnells
329 MOORE CIR
Auburn, AL 36830
USA

1

Stephanie Kost

From: Mindy Loyd [mvloyd@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 7:50 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

62236
RECEIVED

December 16, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mindy Loyd
5705 FM 1206
Iowa Park, TX 76367
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Thomas Smith [kein@tandtlnc.org]
Sent: Saturday, December 20, 2003 11:35 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

December 20, 2003

JAN - 6 2004

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
 Federal Communications Commission
 445 12th Street, NW
 Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission
 Office of the Secretary

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smith
 488 South State Road 39
 Scottsburg, IN 47170
 USA

12/20/03
 11:35 AM

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**

CASE STUDY

Stephanie Kost

From: lfortesque@excite.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2003 10:00 AM
To: fcc@prd7.wynn.com
Subject: FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<PROCEEDING> 02-230
<DATE> 11/04/03
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO
<NAME> larry fortesque
<CONTACT-EMAIL> lfortesque@excite.com
<ADDRESS1> 4015 Walnut St.
<CITY> Sunnyvale
<STATE> ca
<ZIP> 94086
<PHONE>

<DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment*

<TEXT> Restricting the flow of information just weakens all of us.' As a home digital video maker, I should have the same rights to technology as corporations. If the corporation needs to change it's business model, so be it, it's called capitalism.

Stephanie Kost

From: klindsey@auchtober.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2003 5:18 PM
To: fcc@prd7.wynn.com
Subject: FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
 Office of the Secretary

<PROCEEDING> 02-230
 <DATE> 11/04/03
 <DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO
 <NAME> Katherine A. Lindsey
 <CONTACT-EMAIL> klindsey@auchtober.com
 <ADDRESS1> P.O. Box 10012
 <CITY> Bainbridge Island
 <STATE> wa
 <ZIP> 98110
 <PHONE> 206-842-5269

<DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment*

<TEXT> The most essential piece of our declaration of independence states that all men are created equal. To that end, it is absolutely unfair for the government, or any of its subsidiaries, to rubber stamp approval of an 'elite' group of professionals for use of technology that should be available to anyone who has the means to purchase it. By only allowing Hollywood 'professionals' to utilize fully functional digital TVs, the FCC in, in effect, promoting censorship, and theft. What happened to the United States as a democracy? has it truly become the worlds largest CAPITALIST society?

Stephanie Kost

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: Thomas Smith [kein@tandtinc.org]
Sent: Saturday, December 20, 2003 11:35 AM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

02 230
RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

ORIGINAL

December 20, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smith
488 South State Road 39
Scottsburg, IN 47170
USA

10/1/04
10/1/04

Stephanie Kost

02-230

From: Dennis Baker [dabaker@kconline.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2003 8 42 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

December 30, 2003

JAN - 6 2004

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dennis Baker
7834 N 900 E
Mentone, IN 46539
USA

12/30/2003 1:00 PM
JAN 6 2004

From: Dennis Baker [dabaker@kconline.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2003 8.42 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D C. 20554

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Dennis Baker
7834 N 900 E
Mentone, IN 46539
USA

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

Michael
135 N. Shields Street
Fort Collins, CO 80521

Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

DEC 14 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner Kevin J. Martin:

Thousands of American consumers have already expressed their opposition to the FCC's adoption of a "broadcast flag". I am writing to join them. As a user of open-source software, adoption of the broadcast flag will mean I am unable to receive digital television broadcasts on my computer.

Adopting the broadcast flag will make the FCC stand for "Federal Computer Control" which is outside its proper role. It is not the FCC's place to effectively choose the software licenses or computer operating systems that consumers must use in order to watch digital television broadcast on their computers.

Additionally, adoption of the broadcast flag will harm innovation. Many users of open-source software are computer programmers and "tinkers" who work to improve the software. Their contributions and constant innovation is what makes open-source software able to compete in the marketplace.

The broadcast flag rule advocated by the MPAA will ban open-source implementations of VSB and QAM modulators and demodulators, preventing open-source programmers from innovating in field of digital communications techniques used by television.

Most Americans assumed that when television became digital, viewers would be able to do more with television programming, not less. Without innovative new products and flexibility in the ways consumers are able to watch TV, consumers will be less inclined to invest in the equipment to view digital television. Therefore, the broadcast flag is likely to slow adoption of digital television in addition to making it illegal to watch digital television on a computer using open-source software. It is for these reasons I urge you to promote the digital television transition by opposing adoption of the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Michael

/

November 16, 2003

Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

02-230

Dear Kevin Martin

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Peter Crapia
8141 West 98th Street
Palos Hills, IL 60465
USA

RECEIVED

DEC 16 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

EX-1011 OR LATE FILED

Stephanie Kost

From: Mike Baker [mbaker@sbtinfo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2003 11:53 AM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

December 23, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
141 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mike Baker
1569 N St RD 25
Tippecanoe, IN 46570
USA